

ACCURACY AND RELIABILITY IN SEX DETERMINATION USING METRICAL MEASUREMENTS

¹Kaushal C, ²Rai S

^{1,2}School of Life Sciences, Department of Forensic Science, B.B.A.University, 226025, Lucknow.

***Corresponding Author: Chhavi Kaushal**

Email ID: chhavi.kaushal001@gmail.com

Available online at: www.ijbbas.in.

Received 3rd May. 2020; Revised 25th June. 2020; Accepted 1st July. 2020; Available online August. 2020

ABSTRACT

The assessment of the sex of human remains recovered in forensic contexts is an essential step in the review of medico-legal matters. The inert potential is mineralized Teeth structures to withstand post mortem depletion and deliberate survival, accidental or normal shift has led forensic experts to concentrate their attention on teeth as much as possible source of useful forensic evidence in human remains which are fragmentary and poorly preserved. Numerous studies indicate that the dental size requirements are focused on odontometric research they are population-specific and can be used in determining age and sex. This paper reviews sex determination approaches by odontometrics, tooth proportions and Sex dimorphism.

Key words: Forensic, Sex determination, Odontometric, Medico-legal, Osteometric.

INTRODUCTION

Gender determination is an important step in this reconstruction of undefined biological profile remains skeletal [1]. Estimation of sex is important in identification as halves the total amount of Play. Between the different parts of the body, the pelvic and skulls are typical gender markers and precise level of sex determination by Morphological assessment of size and form, and the osteometric techniques were up to 100% [2]. The major advantage of dentition is the inertness, mineralized teeth structures are post mortem resistant degradation, whether intentional, natural, or survival this shifts naturally more than any other skeleton building. A review of odontometrics has been explored as a tool for sex assessment in the forensic literature mostly in the last twenty five years [3]. Standards for dent sizes Population are based on odontometric investigations General and had different degrees of attractiveness Dimorphology. [4]. Although not quite as accurate as the skeleton, dimensions of the crown of the tooth are fairly exact sex predictors and are effective gender adjuncts evaluation. This is also of particular interest in young people where secondary skeletal characters not built yet. This report analyses the methods and

drawbacks for assessing sex by using odontometrics, proportions of the dents and anatomy teeth dimorphism.

Odontometric methods in evaluating sex:

Dental characteristics may be used to classify sex widely classified in non-metric and metric methodologies. Non-metric characteristics are based upon some specific morphological appearance or absence work. Studies show that non-metric features of the crown and the root, such as shoveling the upper incisor, cusp the heritage of carabelli, hypocone and protostylid, so aid to set up a population group or Anthropology [5].

A non-metric function discovered the canine distal brace is for exhibiting sexual dimorphism found on the upper and lower canines, the ridge on the canine Lingual surface among lingual and medial ridges, the distal ridge is small. When existing, the size varies from through a modest swell to a relatively wide ridge or even greater than the median, distal line.

Woman report increased frequency reliably, and more expression of pronounced distal accessory feature upper and lower canine ridges [6].

Assessment of yet morphological features include a subjectivity level significant.

Metric characteristics are based on dent measuring. Apply metric approach to sex estimates are more formal, more subjective and furthermore, the obtained can be checked repeatedly performance [7]. The Buccolingual and Mesiodistal (BL) (MD) the proportions of the dents, called dimensional measurements Can be used to determine sex by Differences in the thickness and proportions of the dents [4]. Diagonal aside from linear Measurements are useful for rotational analysis, Teeth crowded and preserved proximally. The hole is Measured corner to corner, MB-DL and DBML, respectively [8, 9].

Dental Index

Though research shows sexual variable these are not dimorphic in linear scales Sufficient to be regarded as the sole predictor of Age. Improvement in this has led to calculation of oral indices where, besides the size of a tooth, the dental proportions were used to differentiate the sex [5]. The tooth index is extracted simply from numerical mixture of linear measurements. They include

the Mandibular Canine incisor index Index, 'Crown field' and 'Crown module' List. Aitchison formulated the index of the incisors by Formula: $ii = (MDI2 / MDI1) \times 100$, where there is MDI2 Upper lateral incisor max MD diameter and MDI1, Central incisor max MD diameter. The index is in males, higher, which indicates lateral The incisor is considerably smaller than the central incisor in the Women [10]. Because mandibular canines exhibit consistently They are also particularly immune to sexual dimorphism Rao et al.[11] resulting from illness and post mortem insults The Canine Mandibular Index (MCI) is expressed as the Mesiodistal aspect ratio (MD) of the canines And the width of an arch between canines. Regular Mandibular Canine index = $[(\text{Mean MCI} - \text{SD}) + (\text{Mean MCI} + \text{SD female})] / 2$ MCIs. Value for The formula obtained was 7.1, i.e. 7.1 mm total mesiodistal dimension possible of In females the mandibular canines. Sex accuracy Determination in males was 84.3 per cent and In females, 87.5 percent. Conversely, there are a variety of the researchers documented findings in this varies [12]. Other indices are Crown Area, which is product of dimensions BL and MD and derived for multiplying linear measurements by each tooth (BL, i.e. MD).

Results show linearity measurements allow for greater discrimination against the race and Those three dental indexes have thus not been added Usefulness in sex evaluation forensics [13]. The most common Dental Statistical Model Sex appraisal is the study of distinguishing roles. Discriminant research that treats teeth as a unit (Multivariate analysis) Sex was more accurate differentiation by comparing one teeth to another as with students t-testing separately (univariate analysis) [14]. Recently the field of logistic regression is considered a stronger option, and its use returned right sex allocation rates of 76 per cent Around 100%, which was higher than the sex evaluation Used differential research (almost 52-71%). Logistically Analyzing regression allowed optimal sex prediction when all teeth were implanted in both jaws [15].

Sex-identification study from teeth:

Use dental morphology to determine sexual dimorphism is a technique given for in studies in anatomy and biology; in particular in Forensic dentistry, which defines the age of Split Jaws and Dentition[16]. Buttz and Ehrhardt proved it in 1938 One can estimate human dental sexual dimorphism from calculation of permanent teeth crowns. Male

teeth have usually been found to be Bigger than the female ones. Yet these are Authors concluded that size ranges are not appropriate sufficiently distinctive for sexual establishment Commitment [17]. Schrantz and Bartha suggested Seven dental Morphological forms used to assess sex- For females, the BL diameters of the teeth are smaller than Males; the main upper incisor is bigger than the males The upper canine is in females while the MD diameter is same in males; gap in MD diameter The middle upper incisor and lateral upper incisor is about 2.1 mm for females and about 1.8 mm for males; That's it. Difference of the lower canine MD-diameter And in females the lower lateral incisor is smaller (0.7 Mm vs. 1.8 mm in males); second merger In females molar roots are more frequent; 3rd Hypoplasia and agenesis incidence Molar is higher between females; In males hyperdontia is more common[5,17]. In their XVIIIth Century research Archeological series in France, from Marseille, The Soubayroux, Signoli and Dutour illustrated the Humans undergo strong dental dimorphism (Male > mesiodistal diameter for female) and Mandibular canine is the most accurate dent for Female Dental Dimorphism Analysis[17].

Similar to the frontal sexual differences are more common in the direction buccolingual. Garn et al. say the mesiodistal measurements allow for a Good distinction between sexes. Kedici and Iscan[3] Analyzing the buccolingual sexual variability The Turkish dentition factor shows that males are Significantly surpassed females in aspect and All jaws have canine teeth which are more dimorphic than Etc. The accuracy of the gender distinction was by 77 per cent on average. These findings are similar to those of Egyptian population studies[18] In Thai Community BL upper left seconde level Molar displayed maximum degree of sexual dimorphism. The canines were as component of second order [19]. Studies of a Saudi population showed canines Even the teeth were dimorphic, but there were The statistically important discrepancy was not between the Canines left and right. Acharya & Mainali, in their Nepalese research Subjects consider MD dimensions to have been identifiable Sex identity is more reliable (77.4–83 per cent) than BL (62.3 to 64.2 percent). Nonetheless, the precision of the MD variables is not sufficiently high to guarantee their exclusive use of odontometric sex assessment-higher standards of accuracy Obtained when the dimensions were all styles

used, in parallel [4]. Odontometric sex assessment among Indians found For Indians the level of sexual dimorphism is lower In contrast with other populations but close to South Asian classes with just 37.5 per cent of the entire tooth Variables in Indian males were statistically larger [20]. While conventionally the canines have shown the highest extent of sexual dimorphism across Populations, Mandibular Molar First in Indians Was considered to be most dimorphic and was accompanied by the canines and the BL of the maxillary level first and another molar. Some tooth variables show higher Mean size for females, called reverse dimorphology. The Premolars show among Indians Higher reverse dimorphism levels [20], and Mandibular left canine has been shown to be nicer Differentiation versus mandibular right Canine [21]. These studies underscore differences in different populations, in odontometric characteristics, also Of the same historical population and The background is evolutionary. Both teeth BL and MD Measures allow for distinction between the sexes. Nevertheless, higher rate of accuracy in odontometric sex prediction Is obtained through use of both dimensional forms then.

Traditionally the mandibular canines are Exhibits the most serious sexual dimorphism [17,18]. Premolars, 1st and 2nd molars, and These are also known to have large maxillary incisors Variations [5]. Currently the precision rate of Gender classification is ~72 per cent odontometric. Such accuracy the correct sex prediction rate is reflected in a number of certain nations too.

CONCLUSION

In India forensic dentistry is an emerging field and Relies heavily on the cheap and simple means of Persons identified from fragmented jaws and Dental leftovers. Standards for the severity of dents depending on Odontometric surveys are unique to population And displayed varying degrees of sexuality Dimorphology. Yet in all humans it's not standardized and Gender difference in tooth size, rather than spectrum Anything discreet. For this reason, teeth are considered a useful complement and addition to sex determination, And not suggested as the sole gender predictor. Yet teeth may be one of the very few Existing biological conditions for sex determination Due to the deterioration of bones and their breakdown.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pretty, I. A., & Sweet, D. (2001). A look at forensic dentistry—Part 1: The role of teeth in the determination of human identity. *British dental journal*, 190(7), 359-366.
- [2] Patil, K. R., & Mody, R. N. (2005). Determination of sex by discriminant function analysis and stature by regression analysis: a lateral cephalometric study. *Forensic science international*, 147(2-3), 175-180.
- [3] İşcan, M. Y., & Kedici, P. S. (2003). Sexual variation in bucco-lingual dimensions in Turkish dentition. *Forensic science international*, 137(2-3), 160-164.
- [4] Acharya, A. B., & Mainali, S. (2008). Sex discrimination potential of buccolingual and mesiodistal tooth dimensions. *Journal of forensic sciences*, 53(4), 790-792.
- [5] Rajendran, R. (2009). *Shafer's textbook of oral pathology*. Elsevier India.
- [6] Scott, G. R. (1977). Classification, sex dimorphism, association, and population variation of the canine distal accessory ridge. *Human biology*, 453-469.
- [7] Bidmos, M. A., Gibbon, V. E., & Štrkalj, G. (2010). Recent advances in sex identification of human skeletal remains in South Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, 106(11-12), 1-6.

- [8] Karaman, F. (2006). Use of diagonal teeth measurements in predicting gender in a Turkish population. *Journal of forensic sciences*, 51(3), 630-635.
- [9] Rai, B., & Anand, S. C. (2007). Gender determination by diagonal distances of teeth. *The Internet Journal of Biological Anthropology*, 1(1).
- [10] Aitchison, J. (1963). Sex differences in teeth, jaws and skulls. *Dent Pract*, 14, 52-57.
- [11] Rao, N. G., Rao, N. N., Pai, M. L., & Kotian, M. S. (1989). Mandibular canine index—a clue for establishing sex identity. *Forensic science international*, 42(3), 249-254.
- [12] Acharya, A. B., & Mainali, S. (2009). Limitations of the mandibular canine index in sex assessment. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 16(2), 67-69.
- [13] Acharya, A. B., & Mainali, S. (2008). Are dental indexes useful in sex assessment. *J Forensic Odontostomatol*, 26(2), 53-9.
- [14] Acharya, A. B., & Mainali, S. (2007). Univariate sex dimorphism in the Nepalese dentition and the use of discriminant functions in gender assessment. *Forensic science international*, 173(1), 47-56.
- [15] Acharya, A. B., Prabhu, S., & Muddapur, M. V. (2011). Odontometric sex assessment from logistic regression analysis. *International journal of legal medicine*, 125(2), 199-204.
- [16] Vodanović, M., Demo, Ž., Njemirovskij, V., Keros, J., & Brkić, H. (2007). Odontometrics: a useful method for sex determination in an archaeological skeletal population?. *Journal of archaeological science*, 34(6), 905-913.
- [17] Pettenati-Soubayroux, I., Signoli, M., & Dutour, O. (2002). Sexual dimorphism in teeth: discriminatory effectiveness of permanent lower canine size observed in a XVIIIth century osteological series. *Forensic science international*, 126(3), 227-232.
- [18] Omar, A., & Azab, S. (2009). Applicability of determination of gender from odontometric measurements of canine teeth in a sample of adult Egyptian population. *Cairo Dent J*, 25(2), 167-80.
- [19] Ruengdit, S., Riengrojpitak, S., Tiensuwan, M., & Santiwong, P. (2011). Sex determination from teeth size in Thais. *Proceedings of the 6th Central Institute of Forensic Science Thailand Academic Day*.

[20] Prabhu, S., & Acharya, A. B. (2009). Odontometric sex assessment in Indians. *Forensic Science International*, 192(1-3), 129-e1.

[21] Kapila, R., Nagesh, K. S., Iyengar, A. R., & Mehkri, S. (2011). Sexual dimorphism in

human mandibular canines: a radiomorphometric study in South Indian population. *Journal of dental research, dental clinics, dental prospects*, 5(2), 51.